





IECEx and ATEX (and other regional/national systems)



Ron Sinclair MBE General Manager SGS Baseefa Ltd. UK

Chair IECEx ExTAG







ATEX – European Community Directive 94/9/EC

- Applied throughout the European Economic Area (EEA) since 1 July 2003
 - The European Union (EU)
 - The European Free Trade Association (EFTA)
 - Switzerland
- Became national law in each country by "adoption"
- Follows earlier directives from 1970s and 1980s
- To be replaced by "New ATEX Directive 2014/.../EU"
 - Draft agreed by European Parliament on 5 February 2014
 - Number will be issued shortly when text published in OJEU
 - Very little practical change for manufacturers









ATEX 94/9/EC

- Not primarily about Safety
- About Removing Barriers to Trade within Europe
 - Minor barrier to trade between the rest of the world and Europe
- Sets only minimum requirements
 - To avoid safety concerns being a barrier to trade
- Conformity Assessment Requirements
 - Not consistent
 - Vary with Category of Equipment
 - Less than earlier directives
 - Does not require compliance with standards









ATEX 94/9/EC – Categories / EPLs / Zones

ATEX Category	IEC Equipment Protection Level	Installation Zone
1G / 1D	Ga / Da	0 / 20
2G / 2D	Gb / Db	1 / 21
3G / 3D	Gc / Dc	2 / 22
M1	Ma Leave on *	
M2	Mb	Switch off *

* When flammable gas is detected in the body of the mine









ATEX 94/9/EC – Conformity Assessment (Certification ?)

Categories (Annex in 94/9/EC)	1 + M1	2 + M2 Electrical	2 + M2 Non-electrical	3
Type Examination (III)	NB	NB		
Production QA (IV)	NB			
Product Verification (V)	NB			
Conformity to Type (VI)		NB + M		
Product QA (VII)		NB		
Internal Control of Production (VIII)			M (+ deposit file)	М
Unit Verification (IX)	(NB)	(NB)	(NB)	(NB)









ATEX 94/9/EC – Notified Bodies

- A Notified Body is a "third party" body NOTIFIED to the European Commission by a National Government to perform specific actions in relation to a directive
 - Currently there is no requirement for a Notified Body to have accreditation
 - Different countries set different criteria for the notification process
 - One of the reasons (along with unclear conformity assessment requirements) that Australia, for example, has ceased to accept ATEX as a criteria for import
 - "New ATEX Directive" accepts current process as weak and sets higher acceptance criteria but still does not insist on accreditation









ATEX 94/9/EC – Harmonisation / Standardisation

Essential Health and Safety Requirements (EHSRs)

- Several pages of generalised requirements
- Flameproof is the only type of protection mentioned (and only in one sentence)
- Harmonised Standards
 - Accepted as demonstrating compliance to the EHSRs
 - Prepared by CEN and Cenelec according to a mandate from the EU Commission
 - Use of these standards is normal (though voluntary)
 - Standards usually cycle through unharmonised, harmonised and finally unharmonised in their lifetime
 - Can cause confusion
 - Use of non-harmonised standards can often be justified









ATEX 94/9/EC – Standardisation

- Cenelec EN 60000 series standards are generally technically equivalent to IEC 60000 series
- For EN 60079 series standards, the main difference is the addition of ATEX marking
- EN 50000 series standards are not directly based on IEC documents and may not have an international equivalent
 - E.g. EN 50495 Safety Systems for ATEX
- Dual certification to IEC 60079 series and EN 60079 series is common practice









ATEX 94/9/EC – Documentation

- Manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity (DoC)
 - Made by the manufacturer on SOLE responsibility
 - Backed up by the manufacturer's Technical File
- For some equipment (Cat. 1/M1 + Cat 2/M2 electrical) backed up by:
- EC-Type Examination Certificate
 - Relating to the design
- Quality Assurance Notification
 - Relating to production

Baseefa

Alternative of Product Verification Certificate

DoC is the only document legally obliged to be supplied







ATEX 94/9/EC – Declarations of Conformity

- Theoretically made on the day each individual item of equipment is "placed on the market"
- In practice usually pre-printed for serial production leads to errors
- Change in harmonisation status of standards
 - Initially not harmonised
 - Harmonised
 - Not harmonised when superseded
 - "State of the Art" issues
- Change in authorised signatory
 - Who gets prosecuted?









ATEX 94/9/EC and IECEx – Positives

- An IECEX EXTR from any IECEX EXCB can be used to support an application for ATEX EC-Type Examination
 - Apart from marking, the technical requirements are almost certainly identical
- AN IECEX QAR from any IECEX EXCB can be used to support an application for an ATEX QAN
 - The requirements are absolutely identical (ISO/IEC 80079-34)
- A European ExCB (as all are also ATEX NBs) will usually issue both sets of documentation together for very little extra cost









ATEX 94/9/EC and IECEx – Positives

- For ATEX Category 3, the IECEx Certificate and ExTR can be used directly to form the technical file supporting the DoC made under the module "Internal Control of Production"
- For equipment not conforming directly to an IEC published standard, IECEx permits the use of IEC 60079-33 "Ex s"
- For equipment not conforming directly to a Cenelec harmonised EN standard, ATEX permits direct assessment against the Essential Health and Safety Requirements









ATEX 94/9/EC – Negatives

- The controls over direct use of the EHSRs are often believed to be weak and allow manufacturers to pay "lip service" to full conformity
- The role of the different Conformity Assessment Modules is not always understood and some countries are (possibly justifiably) not happy that ATEX equates to "proper" certification
- The level of competence of the various Notified Bodies is widely believed to be extremely variable (although this will be largely addressed in the new directive)
- The Answer: Both ATEX and IECEx together









ATEX 94/9/EC ATEX 2014/.../EU and then?

- ATEX 2014/.../EU is a "recast" of 94/9/EC without major change
 - Results from the New Legislative Framework (NLF)
 - Several directives are being aligned
 - Not appropriate to integrate with IECEx at that time
- European Commission have followed the developments at UNECE with interest
 - Full time EU official responsible for ATEX has attended one of the UNECE conferences
- There is probably a will within the European Commission to recognise IECEx directly within ATEX
 - But when ?





Baseefa





ATEX 1999/92/EC The "other" ATEX Directive

- Minimum requirements for improving the safety and health protection of workers potentially at risk from explosive atmospheres
- This relates directly to installations and their management rather than the supply of equipment
- The IEC standards relating to installations are relevant but are not "harmonised"
 - IEC 60079-10-1 /-2 Hazardous Area Classification
 - IEC 60079-14 Selection and Installation of Equipment
 - IEC 60079-17 Inspection and Maintenance of Equipment
 - IEC 60079-19 Repair and Overhaul of Equipment

Requires all installed Equipment to comply with 94/9/EC







Other Regional / National Systems

- The world is divided
- Administrations where "certification" alone is the only requirement for market penetration
 - Fairly straight forward
- Administrations where "installation permissioning regimes" apply in addition to or as an alternative to certification
 - More complicated
- IECEx can help with the certification side but not totally with the installation permissioning side
 - This often requires an "in country" agent or representative









Belarus Kazakhstan and the Russian Federation

TP TC 012/2011

Baseefa

- A single regulation applying to the whole of the Customs Union
- Remarkable similarity to ATEX (some phrases identical) but distinct differences
 - Recognises a third level of protection for Mining
 - No equivalent of "Internal Control of Production"
- Based on Gost-R Certification or other local equivalent
 - IECEx certificates and reports specifically recognised subject to review by the local certification body as a vehicle for issuing the local documentation

Uses IEC standards with some regional differences







Brazil

INMETRO Certification

- INMETRO is Brazil's national accreditation body
- INMETRO also manages certification schemes
- INMETRO does not certify products

INMETRO Directive179 of May 18, 2010

- As with TP TC 012/2011, certain phrases from ATEX are recognisable
- Specifically references the NBR versions of the IEC standards but confirms that they are adopted without deviation
- Allows any Brazilian certification body to base INMETRO certification on IECEx documentation
- Greatly frees the market compared with previous regulations









India – Local Certification

- Both Certification and Permission
- An Indian laboratory issues a report/certificate
- For Ex d Ex e or Ex i equipment BIS issues a license
- PESO (Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation) issues a permission to install based on Laboratory Certificate and BIS License (Group II)
 - Note PESO insist on a BIS License for Ex d but not for Ex e or Ex i
- DGMS (Director General of Mines Safety) issues permission based on report/certificate and BIS License (Group I)









India – IECEx Certification

- Manufacturers outside India can use their IECEx Certificate directly (with PESO) if they have an Indian representative or agent who can be shown to take responsibility for follow-up servicing of the equipment
- Manufacturers outside India can gain Indian local documentation through a local laboratory without having to have an in-country representative
- In both cases, the full procedure for application to PESO for permission to install is required
- Despite an earlier national commitment, DGMS has so far refused to accept IECEx documentation for mining









USA – The most difficult market ?

Divisions versus Zones

- USA has joined IECEx but only in respect of equipment destined for Zoned Areas
- The default installation in USA is almost invariably based on their historical "Division" system with only a few on Zones
- This assists USA exporters, but because OSHA have not given permission for the use of IECEx reports (albeit reports with national differences overtly considered) to support certification of equipment destined for Division Areas there is an effective uni-directional trade barrier in existence
- A brighter note: The US Coastguard looks favourably on IECEx as route in for equipment in the Gulf of Mexico, where it is accepted that the technical construction of equipment to the IEC 60079 series of standards offers many benefits compared with the Division equipment









USA – An extended market ?

- USA has an extended influence in the Oil and Gas market outside its own territory
- Divisions and Zones do not mix
 - With the possible exception of some carefully specified intrinsically safe equipment (where the standards are similar, though not identical) installations should be one or the other
 - The reason most Division Explosion Proof equipment is so much heavier (and more expensive) than the equivalent IEC Flameproof equipment is that it has to withstand higher explosion pressures relating to the use of conduit wiring systems.









Direct legal acceptance

- There are four countries in the world that have written IECEx into their national legal requirements as an accepted alternative to the national certification (albeit with some minor restrictions)
 - Australia
 - New Zealand
 - Singapore
 - India
- Many other countries where the law does not prescribe a particular certification scheme also accept IECEx in preference to any other regional or national scheme









Indirect legal acceptance

- All regions and countries with an ExCB are committed to indirect acceptance via their own certification bodies
 - Sometimes this is actually written into the legislation
 - Brazil
 - Customs Union (Belarus, Kazakhstan, Russian Federation)
- Single exception is USA for Divisions











IECEx is a passport to either direct or indirect entry to most markets throughout the world









Thank you



Ron Sinclair MBE General Manager SGS Baseefa Ltd. UK

Chair IECEx ExTAG